

A ring would mean a commutative ring with identity unless specified otherwise.

1. (15 points) State true or false.

- (a) Every nonzero ring has a prime ideal.
- (b) Every Euclidean domain is a Principal Ideal Domain.
- (c) Every Unique Factorization Domain is a Principal Ideal Domain.
- (d) A prime element in an integral domain is irreducible.
- (e) Two distinct nonzero prime ideals are co-maximal ideals.

Solution:

- (a) T
- (b) T
- (c) F
- (d) T
- (e) F

2. Let \mathbf{R} be an integral domain. Which of the following are an integral domain? No justification needed.

- (a) $\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}$
- (b) $\mathbf{R}[X]$, the polynomial ring over \mathbf{R} .
- (c) $S^{-1}\mathbf{R}$ where S is a multiplicative subset of \mathbf{R} not containing 0.
- (d) Any ring A such that \mathbf{R} is a subring of A .

Solution: $\mathbf{R}[X]$ and $S^{-1}\mathbf{R}$ are the only integral domains □

3. Are the two rings $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^2 - 1)$ and $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^2 + 1)$ isomorphic?

Solution: Let $I = (X^2 - 1)$. Notice that $(X - 1 + I)(X + 1 + I) = X^2 - 1 + I$. It follows that $\mathbf{Q}[X]/I$ is not an integral domain. On the other side $X^2 + 1$ is prime in $\mathbf{Q}[X]$, thus $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^2 + 1)$ is an integral domain. Hence two rings $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^2 - 1)$ and $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^2 + 1)$ can not be isomorphic. □

4. (4+16=20 points) Define prime ideals and maximal ideals. Show that in a ring with finitely many elements every prime ideal is maximal ideal.

Solution: Let R be a commutative ring unit unit 1. Then an ideal $I \neq \{0\}$ in R is called *prime ideal* if $ab \in I$ implies either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. An ideal I in R is called *maximal ideal* if there does any other ideal J such that $I \subsetneq J \subsetneq R$.

Let I be a prime ideal in ring R , where R has only finitely many element. Then R/I is an integral domain which contains only finitely many element. It assures that R/I is filed. Hence I is a maximal ideal in R . \square

5. Let k be a field and $R = k[x]$ be the polynomial ring. Let $S = \{1; x; x^2; \dots\}$ be a multiplicative subset. Show that the rings $R[y]/(xy - 1)$ and $S^{-1}R$ are isomorphic rings.

Solution: We define a map $\varphi : R[y]/(xy - 1) \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ given by

$$\varphi(p(x, y)) = p(x, \frac{1}{x}) \quad \forall p(x, y) \in R[y]. \quad (1)$$

It is straight forward to see that the map φ is a linear algebra homeomorphisom. By the first isomorphisom theorem, there exists $R[x]/\ker(\varphi)$ is isomorphic to $S^{-1}R$. Moreover, since $\varphi(xy-1) = 0$, thus the ideal $(xy - 1) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that

$$(xy - 1) \supseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

In order tho show that, let $p(x, y) \in R[y]$ such that $p(x, \frac{1}{x}) = 0$. Now, one can write $p(x, y)$ as

$$p(x, y) = (xy)^n q_1(x) + (xy)^m q_2(y), \quad (2)$$

where $q_1(x) \in K[x], q_2(y) \in K[y]$, and $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then we can write from Equation (2) that

$$p(x, y) + I = q_1(x) + q_2(y) + I.$$

This implies that

$$p(x, y) = q_1(x) + q_2(y) + h(xy - 1),$$

where $h(0) = 0$. Since $p(x, \frac{1}{x}) = 0$, we have

$$q_1(x) + q_2(\frac{1}{x}) = 0. \quad (3)$$

By applying fundamental calculus sincerely, we have $q_1(x) = 0 = q_2(x)$. Hence we have

$$p(x, y) = h(xy - 1) \in \ker(\varphi).$$

This completes the proof. \square